

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION**

OCTAVIO RODRIGUEZ CIRA,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	CIVIL ACTION FILE
	:	NO.: 1:21-CV-1999-VMC
v.	:	
	:	
COUNTY OF HENRY, et al.,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

ORDER SETTING MEDIATION

This case has been referred to the undersigned for mediation. Accordingly, **all parties¹** and their lead counsel are **ORDERED TO APPEAR before the undersigned on Monday, March 27, 2023, at 10:00 a.m., in Courtroom 103, United States Courthouse, 121 Spring Street, S.E., Gainesville, Georgia.** The requirement that the parties personally appear is intended to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of the mediation by reducing the time for communication of offers and expanding the ability to explore options for settlement. A representative of the insurer who has full settlement authority shall also appear on behalf of any party who is insured.

Mediation conferences are often unproductive unless the parties have exchanged demands and offers before the conference and have made a serious

¹ The duly appointed legal representative of any legally incapacitated party shall appear on that party's behalf.

effort to settle the case on their own. Before arriving at the mediation, the parties are to negotiate and make a good faith effort to settle the case without the involvement of the Court. **Specific proposals and counter proposals shall be made.** If settlement is not achieved before the mediation, the parties shall be prepared to engage in further negotiation at the conference.

Each party shall provide to the undersigned, in confidence, a concise statement identifying the significant issues that would need to be resolved if the case proceeds **at least three business days before the conference.** The statements should include analysis of the strengths and weaknesses of the case and shall outline the settlement negotiations to date. The statement is not to exceed four typed pages, double-spaced.

The purpose of the mediation is to facilitate settlement of this case, if that is appropriate. The conference will be conducted in such a manner as to not prejudice any party in the event settlement is not reached. To that end, all matters communicated to the undersigned in confidence will be kept confidential and will not be disclosed to any other party, or to the trial judge. The undersigned, of course, will not serve as the trial judge in this case. In accordance with the Local Rules of this Court, the parties agree, by participating in the settlement conference, “that any statements made or presented during the . . . conference are confidential

and may not be used as evidence in any subsequent administrative or judicial proceedings.” LR 16.7I.(5), NDGa.

At the mediation, the parties, through counsel, should be prepared to give a brief presentation outlining the factual and legal highlights of the case. Separate, confidential caucuses will then be held with counsel for each party and the parties or a party’s representative(s). Attached is an outline for counsel to review with the parties prior to the mediation to make the best use of the limited time allotted.

Let a copy of this Order be served upon counsel for the parties.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 17th day of January, 2023.

/s/ J. Clay Fuller
J. Clay Fuller
United States Magistrate Judge

SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE PREPARATION

Experience shows that in negotiations the party who is best prepared usually obtains the best result. Settlement conferences are more efficient if all parties and counsel are prepared. Consider the following points, some of which may not apply, to aid in the effectiveness of your settlement conference.

A. FORMAT

1. Parties with ultimate settlement authority must be personally present. If this is not possible, you must notify the judge in advance and discuss the alternatives.
2. The Court will use a mediation format, and private caucusing with each side; the judge may address your client directly. The judge will not meet with parties separate from counsel.

B. ISSUES

1. What issues (in and outside of this lawsuit) need to be resolved? What are the strengths and weaknesses of each issue? What is your most persuasive argument?
2. What remedies are available resulting from this litigation? From a settlement?
3. Is there any ancillary litigation pending or planned which affects case value? What about liens?
4. Do you have enough information to value the case? If not, how are you going to get more information before the conference?
5. Do attorney's fees or other expenses affect settlement? Have you communicated this to the other side?
6. Is Defendant and/or its carrier solvent?

C. AUTHORITY

1. Are there outstanding liens? Have you verified amounts and whether they are negotiable? Do we need to include a representative of the lien holder? If so, contact the judge and a notice of the settlement conference will be sent.
2. Is there valid insurance coverage? In what amount? If coverage is at issue, or the amount/type affects settlement value, have you notified the other side? Do we need to include the representative from more than one company/carrier? If so, notify the judge immediately.

D. NEGOTIATIONS

1. Where did your last discussion end? Are you sure?
2. Schedule with opposing party. Discussions before the settlement conference to make it proceed more efficiently. At least one offer and response is required.
3. What value do you want to start with? Why? Have you discussed this with your client?
4. What value do you want to end with? Why? Have you discussed this with your client? It is significantly different from values you have placed on this case at other times? Does your client understand why?
5. Is there confidential information which affects case value? Why can't/won't/shouldn't it be disclosed? How can the other side be persuaded to change value if it doesn't have this information?
6. What happens if you don't settle the case at the conference? What is your best alternative to a negotiated settlement? Why? What might change the outcome of the settlement conference? Pending motions, discovery, expert's report, etc.

E. CLOSING

1. Have you discussed settlement formats with your client? Does the client understand structured settlements, annuities, Rule 68 offers of judgment?
2. How soon could checks/closing documents be received?
3. If settlement is not reached, and further discovery is needed, what is your plan for continuing settlement discussions? Do you want the Court involved in these talks?
4. If settlement is not reached, be prepared to discuss it again at the Final Pretrial conference.